



Fastlane of the governmental track

10:00 AM - 12:00 PM

12:00 PM - 1:00 PM

1:00 PM - 2:00 PM

2:00 PM - 3:00 PM

3:00 PM - 4:00 PM

4:00 PM - 5:00 PM

5:00 PM - 6:00 PM

6:00 PM - 7:00 PM

7:00 PM - 8:00 PM

8:00 PM - 9:00 PM

9:00 PM - 10:00 PM

10:00 PM - 11:00 PM

11:00 PM - 12:00 AM

Fastlane of the governmental track

10:00 AM - 12:00 PM

12:00 PM - 1:00 PM

1:00 PM - 2:00 PM

2:00 PM - 3:00 PM

3:00 PM - 4:00 PM

4:00 PM - 5:00 PM

5:00 PM - 6:00 PM

6:00 PM - 7:00 PM

7:00 PM - 8:00 PM

8:00 PM - 9:00 PM

9:00 PM - 10:00 PM

10:00 PM - 11:00 PM

11:00 PM - 12:00 AM

CAU

10:00 AM - 12:00 PM

12:00 PM - 1:00 PM

1:00 PM - 2:00 PM

2:00 PM - 3:00 PM

3:00 PM - 4:00 PM

4:00 PM - 5:00 PM

5:00 PM - 6:00 PM

6:00 PM - 7:00 PM

7:00 PM - 8:00 PM

8:00 PM - 9:00 PM

9:00 PM - 10:00 PM

10:00 PM - 11:00 PM

11:00 PM - 12:00 AM

Fastlane of the governmental track

10:00 AM - 12:00 PM

12:00 PM - 1:00 PM

1:00 PM - 2:00 PM

2:00 PM - 3:00 PM

3:00 PM - 4:00 PM

4:00 PM - 5:00 PM

5:00 PM - 6:00 PM

6:00 PM - 7:00 PM

7:00 PM - 8:00 PM

8:00 PM - 9:00 PM

9:00 PM - 10:00 PM

10:00 PM - 11:00 PM

11:00 PM - 12:00 AM

Monitoring

- Thomas et al 10-year urodynamic follow up of men diagnosed with DU ($Q_{max} < 15 \text{ ml/s}$, $P_{det} @ Q_{max} < 40 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$) initially managed with watchful waiting (no catheterisation)
- Sixty-nine men who initially opted for watchful waiting were followed-up with PPS (mean follow up 13.6 years).
- No significant deterioration in asymptomatic or urodynamic parameters over time.
- Only eleven patients failed the initial watchful waiting approach and underwent TURP, 8 (11.6%) due to worsening LUTS and 3 (4.35%) due to acute retention.

Thomas AJ, Green A, Smead J. BJU International. 2005; 95: 1289-90

E. CHOW
MEDICAL

Monitoring

Thomas et al 10-year urodynamic follow up of men diagnosed with DU ($Q_{max} < 15 \text{ ml/s}$, $P_{det} @ Q_{max} < 40 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$) initially managed with watchful waiting (no catheterisation)

Sixty-nine men who initially opted for watchful waiting were followed-up with PPS (mean follow up 13.6 years).

No significant deterioration in asymptomatic or urodynamic parameters over time.

Only eleven patients failed the initial watchful waiting approach and underwent TURP, 8 (11.6%) due to worsening LUTS and 3 (4.35%) due to acute retention.

Thomas AJ, Green A, Smead J. BJU International. 2005; 95: 1289-90





J. WALZ
(FRANCIA)







XXXVIII Congreso de la Confederación Americana de Urología (CAU)

LVI Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Urología (SAU)

XXIV Congreso de la Sociedad Iberoamericana
de Urología Pediátrica (SIUP)

2 / 5 de Octubre 2019
Buenos Aires / Argentina





Argentine Association of Urology (AAU)
XXIV Congreso de la Sociedad Iberoamericana de Urología Pediátrica (SIUP)
2 / 5 de Octubre 2019
Buenos Aires / Argentina
www.cau2019.com



J. DENSTEDT
(CANADÁ)

M. BAPTISTUSSI
(BRASIL)

H. BERNARDO
(ARGENTINA)

J. SOTO CAMPOS
(BRASIL)

J. MACIEL
(BRASIL)













Urology

F. SANTINELLI

J. CAEDDU
(EE.UU.)

A. ROSALES
(ESPAÑA)

J. PALOU
(ESPAÑA)









CAU 2019

BUENOS AIRES

2 / 5 de Octubre 2019
Buenos Aires / Argentina

cau2019.com

